(ii) The balance amount could be utilised by the company as its working capital or for deposit with private sector companies. However, deposit with the private sector will not be more than twenty five percent of the total deposits received by the company.

(iii) The deployment of funds on the above basis will be relatable to the deposits available with the company on 30.6.1984 and at the end of each of the subsequent quarters 30.6.1984 and 30.9.1984 and so on.

(iv) The minimum interest payable on the deposits should be seven percent per annum compounded annually.

2. The following cases have been exempted from the purview of these guidelines:

(a) Where complete deposits by a manufacturing unt do not exceed Rs. 10 lakhs at any time.

(b) Where waiting list does not exceed 6 months producton as also deposits do not exceed Rs. 50 lakhs.

3. The Ministry has been receiving frequent complaints that automobile manufacturers, at times, do not make prompt refund of deposits taken against booking of vehicle. While it is appreciated that some cases may be due to incomplete details furnished by the consumers there could be other cases attributable to procedural delays. It would be appreciated if manufacturers take steps to arrange early refund of deposits as and when approached in order to avoid complaints.

4. The Government trusts that automobile manufacturers will take suitable steps for implementation of these guidelines with immediate effect. The position of deposits and their deployment may kindly be reported to the Department of Heavy Industry every quarter i.e. 30.6.1984, 30.9.1984 and so on.

टायरों का आयात

*397. श्रीमती रेणुका चौधरी :
श्री मुख्तियार सिंह मलिक :

क्या उद्योग मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच कि सरकार ने टायरों का आयात करने का निर्णय किया है ;

(ख) यदि हां, तो इसके क्या कारण हैं?

(ग) उक्त आयात के संबंध में अब तक हुई प्रगति की स्थिति क्या है ?

उद्योग मंत्री (श्री जे० वेंगल राव) : (क) से (ग) टायर आयात करन के संबंध में सरकार द्वारा अभी तक कोई निणय नहीं किया गया है। तथापि, आवश्यकता पड़न पर सरकार टायरों का आयात कर सकती है ।

Exploitation of Tidal Power at Khambhat in Gujarat

*398. SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA: Will the Minister fo ENERGY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the difference between the high tide and low tide is second highest in the world at Khambhat in Gujarat;

(b) whether technically it is considered to be a very favourable condition for generation of tidal electricity; and

(c) if so, whether Government have undertaken any survey or carried out a feasibility study near Khambhat for generation of tidal electricity; if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF POWER IN THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY (SHRIMATI SUSHILA ROHATGI): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Technically Khambhat is considered to be a feasible site for generation of tidal power.

(c) Investigations/studies in the Gulf of Khambhat have not been taken up in the Seventh Plan due to constraint of resources.

Relief and rehabilitation measures for large and medium size industries

*399. SHRI SURESH KALMADI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have devised any standard package of relief and rehabilitation measures with regard to the sick industrial units, including large and medium units, after the establishment of the B. I. F. R. in January, 1987;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof; and

(c) what progress has been made so far in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) No, Sir.

(b) and (c) Do not arise.

Reservation of seats in Nagaland Assembly

*400. SHRI CHITTA BASU: Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 275 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 20th April, 1987 and state:

(a) whether Government have since taken a final decision on the resolution passed by the Nagaland Assembly to the effect that all the 60 seats should be exclusively reserved for the Scheduled Tribes of Nagaland; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF PLANNING, THE MINISTER OF PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION AND THE MINISTER OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI P. SHIV SHANKER): (a) No Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Self-employment scheme

@3266. SHRI PAWAN KUMAR BANSAL: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether the scheme of providing self-employment to educated unemployed youth has been modified from the year 1986-87;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof;

(c) whether under the modified scheme, the limit of loans for agriculture allied activities such as Dairy, Piggery and Poultry etc. has been reduced to Rs. 15,000 by treating these as business ventures;

(d) whether under the Central Investment Subsidy Scheme, these projects are still registered as Industry (for which the loan limit is Rs. 25,000);

(e) if so, what are the reasons for this discrimination under the self-employment scheme;

(f) whether the insistence of Banks on collateral security and defeats the very purpose of the self-employment scheme; and

(g) if so, what steps are under consideration to ensure viability of the projects and to eleminate the delays in disbursement of loans?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. The main modifications are as under:—

(i) Limit of loan for industrial ventures has been raised from Rs. 25,000/- to Rs. 35,000/- retained at Rs. 25,000/- for service ventures

@Previously Unstarred Question 3118 transferred from the 20th August, 1987.